### Stellar/Planetary Atmospheres Part 11: continuous opacities

Peter Hauschildt yeti@hs.uni-hamburg.de

> Hamburger Sternwarte Gojenbergsweg 112 21029 Hamburg

18. Juni 2018

## Topics

- continuous opacities
  - ► H I
  - ► H<sup>-</sup>
  - metals
  - electron scattering
  - molecular opacities

### continuous opacities

- must know all opacities in order to solve RTE and compute spectrum
- these are functions of T,  $P_{\text{gas}}$ ,  $P_e$ ,  $\lambda$ , and abundances
- caution: strictly speaking also of the radiation field!

### continuous opacities

- distinguish between
  - 'slowly' varying continuous opacities (but discontinuities)
  - 'rapidly' varying line opacities (dex over 1 Å!)
- 2 categories for continuum opacities:
  - $1. \ b\mbox{-}f\ transitions:\ photoionizations$
  - f-f transitions: charged particle (electron) accelerated in E-field of other charged particle (ion) and a photon is absorbed
- continuous scattering processes:
  - 1. Thompson (electron) scattering
  - 2. Rayleigh scattering

### stimulated emission

- typically not included in f-f and b-f transition data!
- in LTE, it's simply given by

$$(1 - \exp\left(-h\nu/kT\right))$$

- depending on  $\lambda T$ , this can be a big correction:
- $\lambda T = 0.2 \rightarrow \Delta = 0.08\%$  ( $\lambda = 2000$  Å for  $T = 10^4$  K)

• 
$$\lambda T = 0.4 \rightarrow \Delta = 2.8\%$$
 ( $\lambda = 4000$  Å for  $T = 10^4$  K)

•  $\lambda T = 0.6 \rightarrow \Delta = 10\%$  ( $\lambda = 6000$  Å for  $T = 10^4$  K)

• 
$$\lambda T = 0.8 \rightarrow \Delta = 19.8\%$$
 ( $\lambda = 8000$  Å for  $T = 10^4$  K)

•  $\lambda T = 1.0 \rightarrow \Delta = 31.1\%$  ( $\lambda = 10000$  Å for  $T = 10^4$  K)

## general stuff

opacities written as

$$\kappa(\lambda) = \sum \sigma_i(\lambda) n_i$$

- ► *n<sub>i</sub>* from EOS
- $\sigma_i(\lambda)$ : cross section for, e.g., photoionization out of level *i*
- $\sigma_i(\lambda)$  from QM or measurements

- also valid for H I like ions!
- basic picture:

Table 8.2. Absorption edges.

n	λ, Å	Name	
1	912	Lyman	
2	3647	Balmer	
3	8206	Paschen	
4	14588	Brackett	
5	22790	Pfund	



Fig. 8.1. This simplified energy level diagram for hydrogen shows the quantum numbers, n, binding energy, E, and the excitation potential,  $\chi$ , in electron volts for the first four levels and the continuum.

b-f cross section can be computed with QM:

$$\sigma_n(\lambda) = \frac{32}{\sqrt{27}} \frac{\pi^2 e^6}{h^3 c^3} R \frac{\lambda^3}{n^5} g'_n(\lambda) \equiv \alpha_0 \frac{\lambda^3}{n^5} g'_n(\lambda)$$

•  $R = 1.0968 \times 10^5$  cm: Rydberg constant for H I •  $\alpha_0 = 1.044 \times 10^5 (\lambda \text{ in Å})$ 

▶ g'<sub>n</sub> is the Gaunt factor

- introduced to bring QM result into same form as classical (Kramer's) formula
- Gaunt factors have been calculated by, e.g., Karzas+Latter, ApJSupp, 6:167 (1961)
- often used: fit formulae, e.g.,

$$g'_n(\lambda) = 1 - 0.3456(\lambda R)^{-1/3} \left( \frac{\lambda R}{n^2} - 0.5 \right)$$

### H I b-f cross sections



Fig. 8.2. The bound-free absorption coefficient for hydrogen increases with n.

▶  $\approx$  small contributions of levels  $n > n_T = 3...6$  by integration:

$$\sum_{n_T}^{n_{\max}} \frac{1}{n^3} \exp(-\chi_n/kT) \approx -\frac{1}{2} \int_{n_T}^{\infty} \exp(-\chi/kT) d(1/n^2)$$

• with  $d\chi = -I_H d(1/n^2)$ 

$$=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\chi\tau}^{\prime}\exp(-\chi/kT)\,\frac{d\chi}{l_{H}}$$

$$=\frac{kT}{2I_{H}}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{\chi_{T}}{kT}\right)-\exp\left(-\frac{I_{H}}{kT}\right)\right]$$

• with 
$$\Theta = 5040/T$$
 this can be written as  
 $\kappa_{\text{HIbf}} = \alpha_0 N_H \left[ \sum_{1}^{n_T} \frac{\lambda^3}{n^5} g'_n(\lambda) 10^{-\chi\Theta} + \frac{\log_{10}(e)}{2\Theta I_H} \left( 10^{-\chi\tau\Theta} - 10^{-I_H\Theta} \right) \right]$ 

# H I f-f

- f-f absorption is much smaller
- but important at large  $\lambda$  and/or large T
- collision electron-proton ightarrow
- photon can be absorbed, increasing electron energy
- probability depends on relative speed
- classical differential cross section (cm<sup>2</sup> per hydrogen atom):

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm ff}}{dv} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{27}} \frac{h^2 e^2 R}{\pi m^3} \frac{1}{\nu^3 v}$$

# H I f-f

- $\blacktriangleright$  total cross section by integrating over all electron v's
- Maxwell distribution ightarrow

$$\sigma_{\rm ff}(\lambda) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{27}} \frac{h^2 e^2 R}{\pi m^3} \frac{1}{\nu^3} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{m}{kT}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1/2mv^2}{kT}\right) \, dv$$

so that

$$\sigma_{\rm ff}(\lambda) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{27}} \frac{h^2 e^2 R}{\pi m^3} \frac{1}{\nu^3} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\pi kT}}$$

# H I f-f

- QM calculation (Gaunt, 1930) gives basically the same result
- but adds a f-f Gaunt factor:

$$\sigma_{\rm ff}(\lambda) \to \sigma_{\rm ff}(\lambda) g_{\rm ff}(\lambda)$$

• with ( $\lambda$  in Å)

$$g_{
m ff}(\lambda) = 1 + 0.3456 (\lambda R)^{-1/3} \left(rac{\lambda kT}{hc} + 0.5
ight)$$

- ► H− has one *bound* state
- ▶ ionization potential 0.754 eV (16444 Å)
- not any more bounds states!
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\rightarrow$  no spectral lines
- but important opacity source in the Sun!

## $H^-$ b-f

- polynomial fits to reproduce  $\lambda$  dependence of H<sup>-</sup> b-f
- accuracy better than 0.2%
- H<sup>-</sup> has autoionizing (unstable) levels above its ionization limit
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\rightarrow$  resonances in the absorption
- $\blacktriangleright$  + forbidden H<sup>-</sup> continuum
- these are very small

### $H^-$ b-f cross sections



Fig. 8.3. The absorption coefficient of the negative hydrogen ion shows a maximum near 8500 Å. Two calculations are compared.

### ${\rm H}^-$ f-f cross sections



Fig. 8.4. The free-free absorption coefficient of the negative hydrogen ion increases with wavelength.

### He<sup>-</sup> f-f cross sections



Fig. 8.5. The free-free absorption coefficient of the negative helium ion qualitatively mimics the absorption of the H<sup>-</sup> ion. The units of  $\alpha(\text{He}_{tt}^{-})$  are square centimeters per helium atom per unit electron pressure.

### hydrogen opacities



### hydrogen opacities



### hydrogen opacities



### metal b-f opacities

- metals = everything with Z > 2
- extremely important b-f and f-f opacity sources
- UV wavelengths!
- most important elements: C, Si, Al, Mg, Fe
- few cases: cross sections from lab data
- most cases: theoretical model calculations
- ▶ 1987: 'opacity project' (Seaton), OPAL (LLNL)
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\rightarrow$  generate state-of-the-art databases of cross-sections
- assumes LS coupling to use quantum defect methods

#### electron scattering

- Thompson scattering: electron scattering in the non-relativistic limit
- $\rightarrow$  independent of  $\lambda$ :

$$\sigma_e = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left(\frac{e^2}{mc^2}\right)^2 \approx 0.66 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{cm}^2$$

phase function:

$$\propto 1+\cos^2 heta$$

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\rightarrow$  can be approximately treated as isotropic

### electron scattering

- Thompson scattering is important if there are lots of free electrons
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\rightarrow$  high *T*, small  $P_{\rm gas}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\rightarrow$  relatively more important in giants compared to dwarfs

# Rayleigh scattering

- important in cool stars
- important in the UV
- ▶ important for H I, H<sub>2</sub> and He I
- wavelength dependence of the form

$$\sigma_R(\lambda) \propto rac{\lambda_0}{\lambda^4} \left[ 1 + \left(rac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}
ight)^2 + \left(rac{\lambda_4}{\lambda}
ight)^4 
ight]$$

### molecular opacities

- cool stars
- mostly contribute to IR opacity
- these are spectral lines
- but many of them
- ightarrow 
  ightarrow overlap to form broad bands
- ▶ most important ones: TiO, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO

## molecular opacities



#### molecular opacities



Figure 13: Wavelength distribution of the absorption coefficients in units of cm<sup>2</sup>/g obtained at  $\tau_{std} = 1$  in a model with  $T_{eff} = 3000$  K,  $\log g = 5.0$  and solar composition for a) the continuum opacity sources (—) and the molecular bands of CN and TiO (- - ) and for b) the molecular bands of the species taken into account in the JOLA (here VO and CH are represented with broken lines for more clarity).















